

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-1-2013	13-CV-76	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>De Oca v. Bloomberg L.P. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., and the New York State Labor Law for the alleged failure to pay certain wage and overtime amounts.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who were employed by Bloomberg in one of the Reclassified Jobs in New York between 1-3-2007 and 4-28-2013 and in all other locations between 1-3-2010 and 4-28-2013.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Robert Ottinger Denise Rubin Glatter The Ottinger Firm, P.C. 20 West 55th Street 6th Floor New York, NY 10019</p>
10-1-2013	11-CV-05386	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Diamond Foods, Inc. Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by making false or misleading statements knowingly or with extreme recklessness which caused the price of Diamond Foods, Inc. securities to be artificially inflated during the period from 10-5-2010, through and including 2-8-2012.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased publicly traded securities of Diamond Foods, Inc. during the period from 10-5-2010 through and including 2-8-2012, and who suffered damages as a result.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p>http://classaction.kcc1lc.net/DiamondFoods</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-2-2013	12-MD-2358	(D. Del.)	<p>In re: Google Inc. Cookie Placement Consumer Privacy Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendant set cookies on Plaintiffs' Safari Browsers in conflict with the default cookie settings of such browsers, in violation of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act ("ECPA" or the "Wiretap Act"), 18 U.S.C. § 2510, et seq., the Stored Communications Act ("SCA"), 18 U.S.C § 2701, et seq.; and the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act ("CFAA"), 18 U.S.C. § 1030. Plaintiffs allege that PointRoll deployed third-party tracking cookies when Plaintiffs and Class Members visited a website containing an advertisement placed by PointRoll by circumventing Plaintiffs' and Class Members' Safari Browser settings that blocked such cookies. Plaintiffs allege Defendants used those cookies to knowingly intercept and gain access to Plaintiffs' and Class Members' Internet communications and activity in violation of federal statutes.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who used the Safari Browsers with the Safari Browser cookie setting set, by default or by choice, either to accept cookies from "visited" sites or to block cookies from "third parties and advertisers," and who visited a website containing an advertisement served by PointRoll and, as a result of which, PointRoll set cookies.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to or e-mail:</p> <p>Brian R. Strange Strange & Carpenter 12100 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1900 Los Angeles, CA 90025</p> <p>lacounsel@keefbartels.com</p> <p>Stephen G. Grygiel Keefe Bartels, LLC 170 Monmouth Street Red Bank, NJ 07701</p> <p>sgrygiel@keefbartels.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-3-2013	12-CV-01559	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Hetherington v. Bank of America, N.A., et al. Plaintiff alleges that Bank of America, N.A., ("BANA") failed to pay all the overtime wages owed to persons who received a Rewarding Success bonus and worked overtime hours during the prior calendar year for which the Rewarding Success bonus was earned. Plaintiff further claims unpaid overtime wages and seeks to recover waiting time penalties allegedly owed under Labor Code Section 203 for failure to pay all wages owed upon termination.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who, at any time between 5-10-2008 and [date of preliminary approval], worked for BANA in California as an overtime-eligible employee, were paid a Rewarding Success award prior to 2-15-2013, and worked overtime hours during the calendar year prior to the year in which the Rewarding Success award was paid.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Gregory N. Karasik Karasik Law Firm 11835 W. Olympic Blvd. Suite 1275 Los Angeles, CA 90064</p>
10-4-2013	12-CV-00725	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Kulesa v. PC Cleaner, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's software products - PC Cleaner Pro 2011, PC Cleaner Pro 2012, and PC Cleaner Pro 2013 - did not perform certain functions as advertised.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals and entities residing in the US and its territories that, on or before [date of preliminary approval], purchased any and all versions of the following software: PC Cleaner Pro 2011, PC Cleaner Pro 2012 and PC Cleaner Pro 2013.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Jay Edelson Benjamin H. Richman Chandler R. Givens Edelson LLC 350 N. LaSalle Suite 1300 Chicago, ILL 60654</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-4-2013	12-CV-01200	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Keirsey v. eBay Inc. Plaintiff alleges that eBay incorrectly charged the disputed fees for listings placed with an eBay Mobile application (via iPhone, iPad, Blackberry, Android or the eBay mobile website) (collectively, "eBay Mobile"), which involved listing upgrade fees for the PicturePack, Gallery Plus, or International Site Visibility features between 1-1-2009 and 10-31-2012.</p> <p>Class Members are all natural persons and entities who are US residents who, between 1-1-2009 and 10-31-2012, listed items for sale on e-Bay's websites using eBay Mobile and incurred Disputed Fees in connection with such listings.</p>	2-14-2014	<p>For more information Write to:</p> <p>Keith R. Verges Figari & Davenport, LLP 901 Main Street Suite 3400 Dallas, TX 75202-3796</p>
10-7-2013	12-CV-1551	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Moore v. Fitness International, LLC Plaintiff alleged that Fitness International: (1) failed to pay wages; (2) failed to pay overtime wages; (3) failed to provide meal and rest periods; (4) failed to reimburse for business expenses; (5) failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements; and (6) engaged in unfair and/or unlawful business practices.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons employed by Fitness International, LLC as Personal Trainers in the US from 6-22-2009 through 6-21-2013.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Matthew R. Bainer Molly A. DeSario Scott Cole & Associates, APC 1970 Broadway Ninth Floor Oakland, CA 94612</p> <p>510 891-9800</p> <p>510 891-7030</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-7-2013	10-CV-1145	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Penthouse Compensation Litigation Plaintiffs allege that, among other things, Defendants failed to pay them and the Class Members wages, including minimum wage overtime for the hours they worked over 40 in a week, improperly retained gratuities, improperly collected "house fees" and required them to incur uniform-related expenses in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and the New York Labor Law ("NYLL").</p> <p>Class Members are all who worked as an entertainer at Penthouse between 1-1-2004 and 6-12-2012.</p>	1-14-2014	<p>For more information write, call, e-mail or visit:</p> <p>Justin M. Swartz Outten & Golden LLP 3 Park Avenue 29th Floor New York , NY 10016</p> <p>212-245-1000</p> <p>jms@outtengolden.com</p> <p>www.outtengolden.com</p>
10-9-2013	12-CV-02481	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Chaikin v. Lululemon USA Inc., et al. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants are engaging in a pattern of unlawful and deceptive business practices by utilizing information capture policy whereby Defendants' cashiers request and record personal identification information in the form of ZIP codes, along with credit card numbers from customers using credit cards at the point-of-sale in Defendants' retail establishments.</p> <p>Class Members are all Lululemon USA Inc., customers from whom Defendants requested and recorded personal identification information in conjunction with a credit card purchase transaction at a California retail store during the period of time beginning 8-10-2011 through the date of trial.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Gene J. Stonebarger Richard D. Lambert Elaine W. Yan Stonebarger Law A Professional Corp. 75 Iron Point circle Suite 145 Folsom, CA 95630</p> <p>916 235-7140</p> <p>916 235-7141</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-9-2013	08-CV-10637	(S.D.N.Y)	<p>Tsereteli v. Residential Asset Securitization Trust 2006-A8, et al. Plaintiff alleges that Credit Suisse, Residential Asset Securitization Trust 2006-A8, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., and the McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. are in violation of §§ 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and were damaged thereby.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired the Certificates through and including [day before lead Plaintiff's motion for the Notice Order].</p>	1-27-2014	<p>For more information write or visit:</p> <p>WOLF POPPER LLP Lester L. Levy James A. Harrod Robert S. Plosky 845 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022</p> <p>www.RAST2006A8Settlement.com</p>
10-10-2013	MDL-Doc. No.2270	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>In re: CertainTeed Fiber Cement Siding Litigation Plaintiffs allege that the siding is subject to shrinkage, warping, cracking, bowing, delamination and otherwise does not perform in accordance with the reasonable expectations of users.</p> <p>Class Members are all who owned a home, residence, building, or other structure in the U.S., on which the siding was installed on or before 9-30-2013.</p>	2-14-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP Michael McShane 221 Main Street Suite 1460 San Francisco, CA 94105</p> <p>BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C. Shanon J. Carson H. Laddie Montague, Jr. 1622 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103</p>
10-10-2013	13-CV-00732	(N.D. Ga.)	<p>Carr v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC Plaintiff alleges Ocwen mailed a letter dated 2-16-2013 to a group of approximately 265,000 borrowers, whose loans Ocwen serviced. The lawsuit claims the 2-16-2013 Letter violated</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Ainsworth G. Dudley Building One 4200 Northside Parkway</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>the Federal Debt Credit Protection Act ("FDCPA") because, among other things, it: (1) was not sent to the borrowers within 5 days of Ocwen's initial communication with them; (2) failed to name the creditor to whom each borrower's debt was owed; (3) incorrectly indicated or implied that disputes by the borrowers of the letter's description of their debts had to be made in writing; and (4) incorrectly suggested or implied that any such dispute had to be submitted within 30 days of 2-16-2013.</p> <p>Class Members are all natural persons in the U.S., who were sent the 2-16-2013 letter by Ocwen, along with the successors or assigns of each such person. In cases where any single 2-16-2013 letter was addressed to more than one person at a single address, each person to whom it was addressed is a separate member of the Settlement Class.</p>		<p>Suite 200 Atlanta, GA 30327</p>
10-11-2013	1322-CC00800	(Cir.Ct. Mo.)	<p>Susan McGann, Mary Lowe, Joseph Lumetta, Steven Kane, Darrius Stewart, Sarah Lamb, Steve Skurat, Kristen MacDonald, and John Gaffigan v. Schnuck Markets, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Schnuck Markets, Inc., ("Schnuck") was responsible for the security incident that occurred when hackers illegally accessed its payment card system because Schnuck did not take appropriate care to protect the payment systems from hacking.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who used a</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Ben Barnow Barnow and Assoc., P.C. One North LaSalle Street Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602</p> <p>John S. Steward Steward Law Firm, LLC 1717 Park Avenue St. Louis, MO 63104</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			credit or debit card at a Schnuck store between 12-9-2012 and 3-30-2013.		
10-11-2013	11-CV-01576	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Terry Horvath, et al. v. LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. Plaintiffs allege that LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc., ("LGEMU") sold defective LG G2x Phones that caused the phones to either: (1) repeatedly freeze, shut down and power off randomly ("shutdown defect"), or (2) bleed or leak backlight from the edges of the phones' screens ("screen-bleed defect").</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who reside in the U.S., who purchased an LG G2x Phone and who complained to LGEMU or T-Mobile about either the shutdown defect or the screen-bleed defect between 4-15-2011 to [date of order granting preliminary settlement approval], and who can verify they continued to experience similar problems.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>William J. Doyle II Doyle Lowther, LLP 10200 Willow Creek Road Suite 150 San Diego, CA 92131</p>
10-11-2013	06-CV-703	(S.D. Ill.)	<p>Pat Beesley, et al., v. International Paper Company, et al. Plaintiffs allege that during the Class Period, Defendants violated ERISA by including the IP Company Stock Fund and Large Cap Stock Fund as investment vehicles in the International Paper Hourly Savings Plan ("Hourly Plan") and the International Paper Salaries Savings Plan ("Salary Plan") (collectively the "Plans"), operated a securities lending program that included assets of the Plans while failing to</p>	1-1-2014	<p>For more information write, e-mail, call or fax:</p> <p>Schlichter, Bogard & Denton Attn: IP 401(k) Settlement 100 S. Fourth Street Suite 900 St. Louis, MO 63102</p> <p>IP401ksettlement@uselaws.</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>provide the Plans their rightful share of the securities lending revenue, paid excessive fees to the Plans' recordkeeper, paid excessive fees for investment management, fraudulently reported performance histories for Plan funds, and improperly delayed contributions to the Plans and retained interest thereon for corporate accounts.</p> <p>Class Members are all participants in the International Paper Company Salaried Savings Plan or the International Paper Company Hourly Savings Plan, excluding the Defendants, whose Plan accounts had balances greater than \$0 at any time between 1-1-1997 and 5-31-2008.</p>		<p>com</p> <p>314 621-6115</p> <p>314 621-7151</p>
10-11-2013	12-CV-215	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Martin v. Dun & Bradstreet, Inc., ("D&B"), et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), 47 U.S.C. § 227(b), by placing auto-dialed calls to numbers assigned to cell phones without the called party's prior express consent.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or business entities who: (1) Convergys Customer Management Group Inc. called on behalf of Dun & Bradstreet, Inc., between 1-11-2008 and 1-11-2012, and (2) have a record in the Salesforce.com database used by Convergys and D&B that contains both (a) a phone number identified as a cellular telephone number and (b) at least one activity on or before 1-12-2012, which resulted in a call being placed to</p>	11-19-2013	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>888-209-5181</p> <p>www.DunBradstreetConvergysTCPAsettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			that person or business entity.		
10-14-2013	05-CV-1602	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re: Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Becton, Dickinson and Company ("BD") violated federal antitrust laws relating to the sale of its disposable hypodermic products. It claims that BD engaged in several forms of anticompetitive practices, including: (1) imposing market share purchase requirements on hospitals or other healthcare entities; (2) bundling its goods for exclusionary purposes; (3) conspiring with group purchasing organizations for the purpose of imposing exclusionary contracts; and (4) conspiring with other manufacturers to impose rebate penalties on purchasers relating to a bundle of products. The lawsuit further claims that as a result of BD's actions, BD was able to maintain a monopoly in the markets in which BD disposable hypodermic products are sold, causing people and entities who purchased them from a distributor, wholesaler or other third party to pay more than they should have paid.</p> <p>Class Members are of three (3) classes:</p> <p>Indirect Purchaser is defined as: a person or entity located in Alabama, Arizona, California, the District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, West Virginia or</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call: 1 800 248-2618</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Wisconsin who purchased BD Disposable Hypodermic Products through a distributor, wholesaler or any other third party other than BD between 1-1-1988 and 7-30-2013.</p> <p>The Healthcare-Provider Subclass is defined as: all Indirect Purchaser Class members who appear in the "ship to" column of BD's sales data during the Class Period for sales identified in the sales data as "Rebate" or "Non-Contract" sales.</p> <p>The Non-Contract Buyer Subclass is defined as: all Indirect Purchaser Class members who do not appear in the "ship to" column of BD's sales data during the Class Period.</p>		
10-15-2013	12-CV-05886	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Riggins, et al. v. Stack-On Products Co. Plaintiffs allege that Stack-On Safes have design flaws that potentially jeopardize the security of the safes.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of certain Stack-On Safes between 6-1-2005 and [date of preliminary hearing].</p>	4-8-2014	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Class Settlement Administrator 855 871-5485</p> <p>Lead Class Counsel Larry D. Drury Larry D. Drury, Ltd. 100 N. LaSalle Street Suite 1010 Chicago, IL 60602</p> <p>ldrurylaw@aol.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-15-2013	06-CV-6042	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Watts, et al. v. Jackson Hewitt Tax Service, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs alleged, among other things, that prior to the commencement of this litigation the prices appearing on posted signs in stores owned by the Defendants Map Computax NY, Inc., Mandeep Sobiti and Anjett Sobti (collectively, the "Sobti Defendants"), for various tax forms did not include a multiplier fee that was charged to customers, in violation of various laws, and engaged in other wrongdoing.</p> <p>Class Members are all who paid for tax services at a Jackson Hewitt office in New York City owned by the Sobti Defendants between 1-1-2005 through 12-31-2006.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call or e-mail:</p> <p>Chet B. Waldman Wolf Popper LLP 845 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022</p> <p>212 759-4600</p> <p>CWaldman@wolffpopper.com</p>
10-17-2013	10-CV-00144	(N.D. Miss.)	<p>Eastmoor Estates Residents Association, et al. v. Glenn Miller, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, Glenn and Florence Miller, Glenn Miller Construction Company and Eastmoor Estates L.P., are responsible for the repair and maintenance of the water and sewer system serving the Eastmoor Estates (the "Subdivision") and for the upkeep of the homes and vacant lots located in the subdivision. Plaintiffs have asserted claims against the Defendants for a declaratory judgment, violation of the Clean Water Act, nuisance, breach of contract, breach of the implied warranty of habitability, intentional and negligent injury, wrongful eviction or foreclosure, fraud and unjust enrichment.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Civil Legal Clinic University of Mississippi P.O. Box 4808 University, MS 38677</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons who have resided for any period of time in leased or owned property in the Subdivision, or entered into a Lease Purchase Agreement for such property, since 1992.</p>		
10-18-2013	07-CV-9515	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Colgate-Palmolive Co., ERISA Litigation Plaintiffs challenge the legality of Defendants' "cash balance" defined benefit pension plan's (1) methodology for calculating lump sum distributions for the period preceding the effective date of the Pension Protection Act of 2006 ("PPA"), P.L. 109-280 (8-17-2006), and (2) compliance with ERISA's service-based benefit accrual standards (also known as the anti-"backloading" rules).</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who since 7-1-1989 have accrued benefits under the Colgate-Palmolive Company Employees' Retirement Income Plan ("the "Plan") and as to whom a Personal Retirement Account has been or at one time was established and maintained as defined in Plan, § 1.1, whether or not the benefit such persons actually received, actually are receiving or actually will receive from the Plan was or will be calculated under the Plan's Personal Retirement Account formula; and the beneficiaries and estates of such persons and alternate payees under a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.</p>	2-5-2014	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Korein Tillery LLC Douglas R. Sprong (admitted pro hac vice) 505 N. Seventh Street Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63101</p> <p>314 241-4844</p> <p>314 558-7036</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-21-2013	13-CV-02885	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Ronald C. Betten and Esther Lafa v. Diamond Wireless, LLC</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Diamond Wireless, LLC ("Diamond Wireless") willfully violated the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act by printing the expiration date on customers' credit or debit card receipts at its retail locations between 4-24-2011 and 7-30-2013.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who engaged in a debit or credit card transaction with Diamond Wireless at one of its retail locations between 4-24-2011 and 7-30-2013.</p>		<p>For more information visit or write to:</p> <p>www.DiamondWirelessSettlement.com</p> <p>Eric A. Grover Keller Grover LLP 1965 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94103</p>
10-25-2013	08-CV-00172	(D. Neb.)	<p>Cullan and Cullan LLC v. m-Qube, Inc., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege claims for damages and injunctive relief against Mobile Messenger, m-Qube, Inc., and CF Enterprises Pty. Ltd., arising out of alleged unsolicited text messages sent from Premium Short Codes related to Mobile Content, such as ring-tones, news, information alerts, and other digital and electronic content to wireless telephone subscribers, and the sale and billing of allegedly unauthorized Mobile Content to wireless telephone subscribers.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former Wireless Subscribers Nationwide, who, at any time from 1-1-2010 to the Notice Date: (a) incurred any charge, whether paid or not, for Mobile Content associated with any Released Party; (b) received any message from any</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Ben Barrow Barrow and Assoc., P.C. 1 N. LaSalle Street Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602</p> <p>312 621-2000</p> <p>Ralph Phalen The Law Offices of Ralph K. Phalen 1000 Broadway Suite 400 Kansas City, MO 64105</p> <p>816 589-0753</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Premium Short Code or through any wireless Carrier-billed program offered by or administered through any Released Party; or (c) received any message or incurred any charge, whether paid or not, related to any Premium Short Codes or Wireless Carrier billed program offered by or administered through any Released Party.		
10-28-2013	12-CV-02288 12-CV-02443 13-CV-00616	(N.D. Ohio)	<p>Roe v. Intellicorp Records, Inc. Thomas, et al. v. Intellicorp Johnson v. Insurance Information Exchange, LLC</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Intellicorp has violated its obligations under the FCRA by (1) failing to notify consumers contemporaneously of the fact that it is disclosing adverse public record information; (2) failing to maintain strict procedures designed to insure that such information is complete and up-to-date; and (3) failing to utilize reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the adverse information it reports.</p> <p>Class Members are all natural persons within the United States who were the subject of a consumer report prepared by Intellicorp for a prospective employer that contained any negative public record of criminal arrest, charge or conviction, during the five years preceding the filing of this action until final resolution of this action.</p>	Not set yet	For more information visit: www.intellicorpclassaction.com

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-28-2013	11-CV-5188	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Larsen, et al. v. Trader Joe's Company Plaintiffs allege that certain Trader Joe's food products were improperly labeled, marketed, supplied, made and sold as being "All Natural" and/or "100% Natural" even though the products contained one or more of the following allegedly synthetic ingredients: ascorbic acid, cocoa processed with alkali, sodium acid pyrophosphate, xanthan gum, and vegetable mono- and diglycerides. The products at issue are: Joe-Joe's Chocolate Vanilla Creame Cookies; Joe-Joe's Chocolate Sandwich Creame Cookies; Trader Joe's Jumbo Cinnamon Rolls; Trader Joe's Buttermilk Biscuits; Trader Giotto's 100% natural Fat Free Ricotta Cheese; and Trader Joe's Fresh Pressed Apple Juice.</p> <p>Class Members are purchasers, on or after 10-24-2007 through [Date], of certain Trader Joe's food products that were labeled "All Natural" or "100% Natural" but contained allegedly synthetic ingredients.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. Feinstein Doyle Payne & Kravec, LLC 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219</p> <p>Janet Lindner Spielberg Law Office of Janet Lindner Spielberg 12400 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90025</p> <p>Michael D. Braun Braun Law Group, P.C. 10680 W. Pico Blvd. Suite 280 Los Angeles, CA 90064</p>
10-28-2013	12-CV-00694	(W.D. Pa.)	<p>Dover v. Shoe Show, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Shoe Show, Inc. ("Shoe Show"), failed to suppress credit and debit card account numbers on customers' receipts.</p> <p>Class Members are all consumers to whom Shoe Show provided an electronically printed receipt at the point of sale in a transaction occurring anytime from 6-3-2008 through 8-27-2013 on which Shoe Show printed (1) more than the last</p>	3-13-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Gary F. Lynch Carlson Lynch LTD PNC Park 115 Federal Street Suite 210 Pittsburgh, PA 15212</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			five digits of the person's credit card or debit card number, and/or, (2) the expiration date of the person's credit card or debit card number. Excluded from the class is any Consumer who has suffered identity theft as a result of any Shoe Show Violation.		
10-28-2013	12-CV-2531	(D.N.J.)	Steiner v. Rawlings Sporting Goods Co., Inc. Plaintiff alleges Defendant made false and misleading statements in connection with the advertising and marketing of Rawlings Power Balance Products. Class Members are all persons who purchased a Rawlings Power Balance Product in the United States between 7-1-2010 and [date of Preliminary Approval Order].	Not set yet	For more information write to: Donald A. Beshada Beshada Farnese LLP 108 Wanaque Avenue Pompton Lakes, NJ 07442
10-31-2013	12-CV-3056	(S.D. Cal.)	Robert A. Mason v. Heel, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that labeling and marketing of homeopathic products manufactured or distributed by Heel, Inc. was false or deceptive. Class Members are all persons in the US who purchased the Products for personal or household use from 12-21-2008 to the Opt Out/Objection Deadline (not set yet).	3-7-2014	For more information write to: Ronald A. Marron Law Offices of Ronald A. Marron, APLC 651 Arrovo Drive San Diego, CA 92103
10-31-2013	10-CV-24513	(S.D. Fla.)	Juana Curry and William Moore v. AvMed, Inc. The lawsuit concerns the theft of two laptop computers from AvMed's facilities in 12-2009.	2-14-2014	For more information write to: Ari J. Scharg

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in October 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Plaintiffs allege that before 12-2009, AvMed failed to adequately safeguard its customers' personal information, which exposed them to harm.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former AvMed customers who, prior to 12-2009, paid AvMed for insurance, and whose sensitive personal information was contained on the laptops stolen during the 12-2009 incident.</p>		<p>Edelson LLC 350 North LaSalle Street Suite 1300 Chicago, Illinois 60654</p>
10-31-2013	12-CV-5978	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Miller, et al. v. Southwest Airlines Co., Lumos, et al. v. Southwest Airlines Co. Plaintiffs allege that Southwest Airlines Co. willfully violated the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act by printing the expiration dates on customers' credit or debit card receipts at airport ticket counters or cargo counters between 10-17-2007 and 1-25-2013.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who used a credit or debit card to make an individual, non-business related purchase or transaction at a Southwest airport ticket counter between 10-17-2007 and 10-30-2012 or a cargo counter between 10-17-2007 and 1-25-2013 and received a printed receipt.</p>	3-14-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Eric A. Grover Keller Grover LLP 1965 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94103</p> <p>Daniel F. Gaines Gaines & Gaines, APLC 21550 Oxnard Street Suite 980 Woodland Hills, CA 91367</p>